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- Why harmonized results are needed
- Barriers to harmonized results
- AACC’s global harmonization initiative
Primary reasons for testing

- To develop epidemiologic data from which to establish clinical practice guidelines for disease management

- To identify individuals at increased risk of disease and/or to manage a disease in an individual
Good laboratory medicine requires:

- Total error of measurement small enough that a result reflects a patient’s biological condition

- Comparable results independent of
  - where and when a test was performed
  - the measurement procedure used
How to achieve comparable results

- Calibration traceable to a common reference system
- Surveillance (PT or EQA) to monitor and maintain consistent performance
Problem

Many reference materials and EQA/PT survey materials are not commutable with native clinical samples for routine clinical laboratory procedures

- Intended for use to calibrate higher order reference measurement procedures
- Commutability has not been validated for use with routine measurement procedures
Commutable: same relationship for clinical samples and reference materials
Non-commutable: different relationship for clinical samples and reference materials
Use of a non-commutable material for calibration traceability will cause:

- Incorrect value assignment for a calibrator
- Incorrect results for patient samples

EQA/PT materials are usually not commutable with patient samples

• Magnitude of a matrix-related bias is unknown
  ➤ Observed bias = calibration bias + matrix-related bias

• Cannot compare a lab’s result to:
  ➤ Another method’s mean value
  ➤ An all methods’ mean value
  ➤ A reference method assigned value

• Cannot compare mean values between different methods
Traceability of Laboratory Results

Standardization and harmonization are based on traceability principles described in ISO standard 17511. Differences between standardization and harmonization

- **Standardization**: all measurement procedures get the same result for a sample and the result is traceable to SI with a reference measurement procedure

- **Harmonization**: all measurement procedures get the same result for a sample when there is no reference measurement procedure
Traceability of Laboratory Results

The standard includes 5 categories of reference systems. There are well established procedures to address standardization of measurands in categories 1, 2 and 3. Category 4 includes measurands for which reference materials are available for calibration, but there is no RMP. Category 5 includes measurands for which neither RMPs nor reference materials for calibration are available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Reference measurement procedure</th>
<th>Primary reference material (pure substance)</th>
<th>Secondary reference material (value assigned)</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Electrolytes, glucose, cortisol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Enzymes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hemostatic factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proteins, tumor markers, HIV&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>EBV&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, VZV&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Human Immunodeficiency virus  
<sup>b</sup> Epstein Barr virus  
<sup>c</sup> Varicella zoster virus
Progress has been made!

ISO categories 1-3 are being addressed by a number of existing organizations, for example:

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
- College of American Pathologists
- Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements
- International Federation of Clinical Chemistry
- Joint Committee on Traceability in Laboratory Medicine
- National Institute for Standards and Technology
- National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program
- World Health Organization
Progress has been made!

- However, the approach has been ad-hoc based on individual interests
- There has not been a systematic approach to focus on high priority measurands
- ISO categories 4 and 5 are technically more difficult
Picking the low-hanging fruit!
Measurands for which reference procedures exist or can be developed
Barriers to harmonization

- Lack of a systematic process to identify and prioritize measurands
- Lack of commutable reference materials
- Materials labeled as “reference materials” that have not been validated to be commutable
- Inadequate definition of the measurand
- Inadequate analytical specificity for the measurand
- Lack of systematic procedures to implement harmonization when there is no reference measurement procedure
What do we do?
Opinion Paper

Accuracy in clinical chemistry – who will kiss Sleeping Beauty awake?

Linda M. Thienpont*

Challenged clinical laboratories to address the issues associated with harmonization

Prince Harmonization finds the Sleeping Beauty
Roadmap for Harmonization of Clinical Laboratory Measurement Procedures


Report from the AACC Leadership Forum, October, 2010: Improving Clinical Laboratory Testing through Harmonization: An International Forum
The Roadmap

Develop processes and procedures for:

1. Prioritization of analytes
2. Gap analysis for what needs to be done
3. Technical process to achieve harmonization
4. Surveillance of success of harmonization
Focus on measurands for which no reference method exists

Measurands in categories 4 and 5 have been technically more difficult to address, thus there have been few effective procedures implemented for harmonization in these categories.
Examples of category 4 and 5 measurands

- Thyroid stimulating hormone
- Troponin I
- Prostate specific hormone
- Human chorionic gonadotropin
- Natriuritic peptides
- Carcenoembryonic antigen
- Leutenizing hormone
- Hydroxylated vitamin D vitamers
- Epstein Barr virus
- BK virus
- Varicella zoster virus
AN INFRASTRUCTURE FOR HARMONIZATION

If work underway, refer to that group

Evaluate measurand proposals

Solicit champion and funding
  • Clinically affected entity
  • Economically affected entity

Create a Harmonization Implementation Group
  • Technical plan
  • Surveillance plan
  • Implement the plans
  • Achieve JCTLM listing

If measurand is not being addressed, create a Specialty Work Group
  • Review priority and feasibility using a checklist
  • Recommendation to Harmonization Oversight Group

Clinical practice groups
  Laboratory practice groups
  IVD manufacturers

Metrology institutes
  Standards organizations
  Regulatory organizations
AN INFRASTRUCTURE FOR HARMONIZATION

The Harmonization Oversight Group will coordinate all activity, will solicit and receive input from stakeholders, will evaluate proposals, and will organize specific harmonization projects.

- Clinical practice groups
- Laboratory practice groups
- IVD manufacturers
- Metrology institutes
- Standards organizations
- Regulatory organizations

If work underway, refer to that group.

Evaluate measurand proposals.

- Clinical practice groups
- Laboratory practice groups
- IVD manufacturers
- Metrology institutes
- Standards organizations
- Regulatory organizations

Solicit champion and funding

- Clinically affected entity
- Economically affected entity

Create a Harmonization Implementation Group

• Technical plan
• Surveillance plan
• Implement the plans
• Achieve JCTLM listing

If measurand is not being addressed, create a Specialty Work Group

• Review priority and feasibility using a checklist
• Recommendation to Harmonization Oversight Group
The Specialty Work Group includes experts in clinical use and laboratory measurement of the measurand and will evaluate the clinical importance of a measurand, evaluate the gap between clinical requirements and current practice, and assess the technical feasibility to harmonize the measurand.
Domains of a Harmonization Assessment Checklist

• What is the clinical need for measurand measurement?
• Do evidence based clinical practice guidelines include the measurand?
• Do clinicians or other stakeholders express concern about the measurand?
• Is the measurand measured in clinical trials?
• Is more than one measurement procedure available for the measurand?
• Do inter-measurement procedure performance characteristics for the measurand fail to meet clinical requirements?
• Is harmonization technically achievable?
AN INFRASTRUCTURE FOR HARMONIZATION

Clinical practice groups
Laboratory practice groups
IVD manufacturers

Metrology institutes
Standards organizations
Regulatory organizations

Harmonization Oversight Group

Evaluate measurand proposals

If work underway, refer to that group

If a measurand can be standardized by developing a reference measurement procedure, that approach is preferred and the work will be referred to an appropriate group for that effort.

If measurand is not being addressed, create a Specialty Work Group
  • Review priority and feasibility using a...
AN INFRASTRUCTURE FOR HARMONIZATION

Clinical practice groups
Laboratory practice groups
IVD manufacturers

Harmonization Oversight Group

Metrology institutes
Standards organizations
Regulatory organizations

If work underway, refer to that group

Evaluate measurand proposals

Solicit champion and funding
  • Clinically affected entity
  • Economically affected entity

If measurand is not being addressed, create a
Specialty Work Group
  • Review priority and feasibility using a checklist
  • Recommendation to Harmonization Oversight Group

Create a Harmonization Implementation Group
  • Technical plan
  • Surveillance plan
  • Implement the plans
  • Achieve JCTLM listing
A Harmonization Implementation Group will manage the technical implementation of a harmonization process for a specific measurand. This group will develop the criteria for acceptable agreement among clinical laboratory measurement procedures, the technical plan for harmonization, any needed reference materials, implement procedures to achieve harmonization, and ensure a process to assess the success of harmonization.

Create a **Harmonization Implementation Group**

- Technical plan
- Surveillance plan
- Implement the plans
- Achieve JCTLM listing

If work underway, refer to that group.

Evaluate measurand proposals.

Clinical practice groups
Laboratory practice groups
IVD manufacturers
Metrology institutes
Standards organizations
Regulatory organizations

If measurand is not being addressed, create a Special Work Group.

- Review priority and feasibility using a checklist
- Recommendation to Harmonization Oversight Group

A Harmonization Implementation Group will manage the technical implementation of a harmonization process for a specific measurand. This group will develop the criteria for acceptable agreement among clinical laboratory measurement procedures, the technical plan for harmonization, any needed reference materials, implement procedures to achieve harmonization, and ensure a process to assess the success of harmonization.

- Economically affected entity
- Clinically affected entity

Create a **Harmonization Implementation Group**

- Technical plan
- Surveillance plan
- Implement the plans
- Achieve JCTLM listing
Role of EQA/PT in Harmonization

Using commutable survey materials, EQA/PT programs will play a key role in providing a mechanism to assess the success of harmonization.

If work underway, refer to that group.

Evaluate measurand proposals.

Clinical practice groups
Laboratory practice groups
IVD manufacturers

Metrology institutes
Standards organizations
Regulatory organizations

Solicit champion and funding:
- Clinically affected entity
- Economically affected entity

Create a Harmonization Implementation Group:
- Technical plan
- Surveillance plan
- Implement the plans
- Achieve JCTLM listing

Oversight Group

Create a Specialty Work Group:
- Review priority and feasibility using a checklist
- Recommendation to Harmonization Oversight Group
Some EQA/PT programs use commutable samples

- Samples are typically prepared from freshly collected and minimally processed human samples
- May be limited to one or a small number of analytes due to availability of samples with appropriate concentrations
- Can compare results across assay systems
- Can evaluate success of harmonization and standardization
HbA1c Measurement

CAP Survey Results

Hemoglobin A1c (%)

HbA1c Measurement
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Method Groups
Next steps

- A Steering Committee is responsible to implement the infrastructure for harmonization.
- 3 Task Forces have been formed to develop operating procedures for the major components:
  1. Planning the harmonization oversight group
  2. Developing checklists for prioritization and gap analysis
  3. Developing a tool box of technical processes for assessment and harmonization of measurands
Guiding principles

- Implementation of the harmonization process will require the involvement of international clinical and medical organizations, IVD manufacturers, clinical laboratories, metrology institutes, standards setting organizations, regulatory agencies, and EQA/PT providers.

- An open and transparent process is essential for success.
Guiding principles

• Long term success will depend on collaboration among stakeholders committed to improving patient care and to providing financial resources required for implementation of harmonization processes.

• The goal is harmonized results that are fit for the intended clinical purpose. Pragmatic approaches will be needed.
www.harmonization.net

- Communication center for the project
  - Status reports

- General information portal for global standardization/harmonization activities
  - Useful technical information
  - Information on all global initiatives
  - Links to other organizations
Conclusions

- A global infrastructure and systematic approach for harmonization (and standardization) for all measurands is needed.

- The goal for the Steering Committee and Task Forces is to have an operational harmonization process in place by the end of 2012.

- Implementation of a comprehensive harmonization process will require the involvement and cooperation of all interested stakeholders.
Thank You!
Questions or Comments
A Strategy for Harmonization of a Measurand

The specifics of any harmonization effort will vary for different measurands. However, a general strategy provides a framework for developing measurand specific protocols.

1. Evaluate current degree of Measurement equivalence
2. Determine whether harmonization is possible

- Redefinition of measurand
- Re-evaluation of measurement procedure specificities
- Improved measurement procedures if needed

Data analysis

Panel of samples from healthy and diseased individuals
Existing or candidate reference materials
Manufacturer's internal calibrators/controls

Yes
Harmonization effort

No
Harmonization is technically achievable

Equivalency of Clinical Measurement Results

Prioritized Measurand

Assessment Study:

Review of Literature

Yes
